## Nebraska Climate Pollution Reduction Plan Stakeholder Engagement Notes

**Date/Time:** Wednesday, December 13<sup>th</sup>, 2023; 10:00-11:30 AM (Central) **Sector:** Transportation (Round 2)

## Welcome & Presentation of Measures:

- Introductions
- Overview & Ground Rules
- NDEE Presentation- EPA scoring criteria, program timeline, and list of measures from Session 1
- Q&A

**Priority Poll Results:** 

- 18 participants responded to a poll asking them to rank measures from session one. The participants ranked the measures (highest to lowest) as follows:
  - Expand public EV charging.
  - Replace other public fleet vehicles with EVs.
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Replace transit buses with EVs.
  - Replace airport shuttle buses & ground support equipment with EVs.
  - Replace school buses with EVs.
  - Incentives for EVs for Ag operators.
  - Incentives for higher biodiesel blends.
  - Ride-share incentives.
  - Tax credits for consumer EV purchases.
  - Funding for E-bikes.

Discussion of Priority Measures:

- There is certainly room for improvement in public charging, but there is potential for a "better bang for your buck" if the focus is on heavy diesel users rather than EV charging.
- In Nebraska, 0.3% of vehicles are EVs. It's important to target the 99.7% of vehicles that are not EVs. Emissions can be reduced with ethanol and biodiesel.
- Charging can be done at home, so there is a lot of potential for EVs in the Ag industry, where vehicles are not going long distances and can be charged at night. It would be beneficial to have a study or pilot project to show the benefits of EVs for the Ag sector.
- Ethanol is critical in Nebraska, but with the invention of EVs, will there be a demand for it in the future? Should the focus be on EVs?
- In Lincoln, the municipal contribution to XXX was in the single digits, which includes fleets. It could be similar for the state.

- It was noted that NDEE might identify other funding out there for these measures- e.g., NEVI funding for EV charging- but it can still be included in the priority plan.
- NEVI funding is a challenge in Nebraska because of all the red tape blocking the utilization of those funds.
  - NDOT is working with state senators and is pretty confident that a bill will go through resolving some of the regulatory issues
  - NEVI does have a lot of requirements- e.g., placing charging along I-80 and certain types of charging stations
  - However, there are also competitive grants through NIMBY (NEVI?) for communities to get chargers.
- A large cost that should be accounted for when thinking about EVs/EV charging is data management and maintenance- does NEMBY support this?
  - NEVI pays for software and programming but is unsure about maintenance and warranties.
- It was noted that none of the priority measures include hydrogen. The reason behind this is the short-term nature of the priority plan. Hydrogen may fit in the comprehensive plan as it would be a longer-term measure.
- Should incentivize for higher biodiesel blends- the price at the pump is an incentive.
- Other stakeholders should be included in the conversation and may be able to provide more numbers/impacts on ideas.

Discussion of NDEE's Preliminary Priority Categories:

- NDEE presented its preliminary priority categories.
  - High Priority:
    - Replace diesel transit buses with electric ones.
    - Replace diesel airport shuttles and GSE with electric.
    - Replace diesel school buses with electric ones.
  - Has Potential- Longer Term
    - Incentives for EV replacements for other public fleets.
    - Incentives for EV purchase by ag operators
  - $\circ \quad \text{Lower Impact/Higher Difficulty}$ 
    - Expand public EV charging.
    - State tax credits for EV purchases.
    - Ride-share incentives.
    - Incentives or higher biodiesel blends.
    - Funding for E-bikes.
- Could reach out to transit in Omaha and Lincoln to see what success they've had through other grants regarding EV buses
  - One challenge is demand charges; a solution to this could be for utilities not to charge transit demand charges.
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Another solution is battery storage for electric charging
  - Solar, if appropriate

- Discussed the impact of focusing on diesel transit buses and school buses as opposed to the 99.7% of Nebraska vehicles that use gasoline
  - There are other factors to consider beyond solely GHG reduction, like lowincome/disadvantaged populations.
  - Focusing on buses would have a concentrated impact, and it's more easily implementable through a program.
  - In a program for buses, it would be required to scrap the diesel buses, which wouldn't be possible with consumer vehicles.
  - Although school buses don't run as frequently as transit buses, they have more impact on the community and can act as an advertisement/promote EVs.
- In addition to replacing diesel buses, converting to replace fuel should be considered as it is less expensive. It would have a greater impact to convert 10 vehicles to run on 100% biodiesel than to replace 1 EV.
- It was noted that Nebraska incentives for higher biodiesel blends should be moved up on the priority list.
  - The Nebraska soybean board has a map of where you can get blends of biodiesel in Nebraska.
  - UNL is doing testing on E-30 in non-flex fuel vehicles
  - Nebraska Motor Sports at UNL uses E-85 exclusively. E-30 can be used in flex-fuel vehicles, but studies have shown no adverse effects of it on non-flex-fuel vehicles.
  - There are companies out there that enable heavy-duty vehicles to use 100% biodiesel year-round- it's been done in cold climates. Conversion costs are relatively low, so it should be considered to move up on priorities. It could impact marginalized populationsusing biodiesel instead of fossil diesel has a lot of health benefits.
- There are places in Nebraska that use gas transit buses. Would these fall under "other public fleets"? They could be a part of the program.